EXHIBIT 3

REDACTED VERSION OF DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

PLAINTIFF,

CASE NO.

VS.

3:17-CV-00939-WHA

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO

TRUCKING LLC,

DEFENDANTS.

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - OUTSIDE COUNSEL ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ALEX COOPER

PALO ALTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 23, 2017

VOLUME I

Reported By:

MEGAN F. ALVAREZ, RPR, CSR No. 12470

Job No. 2686086

PAGES 1 - 114

		Page 39
1	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:42:10
2	Q. Sure. Start with that one first.	09:42:11
3	A. Not as I recall.	09:42:13
4	Q. Did he give you any opinions as to Tyto	09:42:16
5	more generally?	09:42:18
6	A. After the visit, we had a debrief session	09:42:20
7	with Anthony. And as I recall, he felt that that	09:42:24
8	the Tyto sensor was a was a nice sensor or they	09:42:29
9	had done nice work. As far as I recall, that was	09:42:33
10	about the extent of his his opinion.	09:42:37
11	Q. I'm going to hand you a previously marked	09:43:24
12	exhibit, 1752, which is WAYMO-UBER-00010459.	09:43:26
13	Go ahead and look up when you're done	09:43:46
14	reading.	09:43:48
15	A. Okay.	09:48:31
16	Q. And are those your notes from the Tyto	09:48:33
17	visit?	09:48:35
18	A. I believe so.	09:48:36
19	Q. And did you author the notes?	09:48:37
20	A. Yes.	09:48:39
21	Q. And do they accurately represent your	09:48:40
22	visit?	09:48:42
23	A. As I recall, yes.	09:48:43
24	Q. And the notes look like the tour was	09:48:55
25	pretty comprehensive. Do you agree?	09:48:57

		Page 40
1	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:49:00
2	THE WITNESS: That depends on your	09:49:01
3	definition of "comprehensive." Yes, I think it was	09:49:02
4	a fairly normal kind of a tour you would expect.	09:49:05
5	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:49:08
6	Q. Did they give you full access to their	09:49:09
7	facility?	09:49:11
8	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:49:12
9	THE WITNESS: We were shown certain	09:49:13
10	things. Other things we were not shown.	09:49:16
11	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:49:18
12	Q. What were you not allowed to see or what	09:49:18
13	were you not shown?	09:49:21
14	A. I don't know.	09:49:23
15	Q. So there wasn't anything that you wanted	09:49:23
16	to see that you were not permitted to see?	09:49:24
17	A. Not as I recall.	09:49:27
18	Q. Were there any rooms that you weren't	09:49:33
19	allowed to go into?	09:49:34
20	A. I don't recall.	09:49:38
21	Q. And on your visit, did you see anything	09:49:43
22	that looked like Google technology?	09:49:45
23	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:49:51
24	THE WITNESS: At that point, I was not	09:49:52
25	familiar with the Google technology, so I couldn't	09:49:53

		Page 41
1	have made that evaluation.	09:49:56
2	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:49:58
3	Q. But you didn't see anything that you knew	09:49:59
4	was Google technology; is that correct?	09:50:00
5	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:50:02
6	THE WITNESS: I didn't see anything that I	09:50:04
7	recognized as Google property.	09:50:05
8	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:50:08
9	Q. And Tyto fully showed you their their	09:50:13
10	LIDAR system; is that correct?	09:50:17
11	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:50:19
12	THE WITNESS: I would not say they fully	09:50:20
13	showed us their system. They showed us they	09:50:22
14	showed us certain parts. Obviously there were some	09:50:23
15	parts they most likely did not show us. But, again,	09:50:29
16	I don't know what those would have been since we	09:50:33
17	didn't see them.	09:50:35
18	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:50:36
19	Q. But they opened up and showed you the	09:50:37
20	inside of the unit; isn't that correct?	09:50:39
21	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:50:41
22	THE WITNESS: I recall seeing components,	09:50:43
23	some of the internals.	09:50:44
24	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:50:49
25	Q. Did you ask to see any other part of the	09:50:51

		Page 42
1	unit?	09:50:53
2	A. I don't recall the specifics of what we	09:50:54
3	asked for.	09:50:56
4	Q. Do you recall being denied being shown any	09:50:57
5	part of the unit?	09:51:00
6	A. I don't recall.	09:51:05
7	Q. But if the unit was based on Google	09:51:06
8	technology, would why would they show you the	09:51:12
9	inside of the unit?	09:51:14
10	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:51:15
11	THE WITNESS: I don't know what they would	09:51:17
12	do or not do. I would be speculating.	09:51:19
13	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:51:21
14	Q. And when they showed you the inside, did	09:51:26
15	you recognize anything as Google Google	09:51:28
16	proprietary information?	09:51:29
17	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:51:33
18	THE WITNESS: As I said previously, I	09:51:37
19	would not have been able to make that determination	09:51:38
20	since I didn't know about the Google technology.	09:51:41
21	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:51:43
22	Q. Did you take any photographs or take any	09:51:44
23	additional notes as to the technology?	09:51:47
24	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:51:50
25	THE WITNESS: I don't recall taking any	09:51:52

		Page 43
1	photographs.	09:51:53
2	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:51:54
3	Q. Okay. And did Anthony stay with you the	09:51:54
4	entire visit to Tyto?	09:52:03
5	A. As I recall, he arrived late. And I	09:52:06
6	believe we all left at about the same time.	09:52:09
7	Q. Okay. And did he prevent you from seeing	09:52:13
8	anything at Tyto?	09:52:16
9	A. Not as I recall.	09:52:18
10	Q. Is there anything not in the notes that	09:52:32
11	you recall from your Tyto visit?	09:52:33
12	A. This was a long time ago. The notes	09:52:38
13	roughly match with what I recall. I don't recall	09:52:40
14	anything specifically different.	09:52:44
15	Q. And I guess what were your general	09:52:50
16	impressions after you left Tyto? Did you think that	09:52:54
17	they were going to be a possible vendor or	09:52:57
18	something someone who you would collaborate with	09:52:59
19	at Google?	09:53:02
20	A. At that stage, it was too early to make	09:53:03
21	that determination. Clearly there was potential,	09:53:07
22	and we were interested to continue working with	09:53:08
23	them.	09:53:11
24	Q. Was their LIDAR system ready to be	09:53:26
25	utilized in its current form at Google at that	09:53:30

		Page 44
1	point?	09:53:34
2	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:53:39
3	THE WITNESS: Are you referring	09:53:42
4	specifically to Street View or some other part of	09:53:43
5	Google or	09:53:46
6	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:53:47
7	Q. For your use, for Street View's use.	09:53:47
8	A. I believe at that point we did not think	09:53:50
9	it was ready, but we had not made a full what's	09:53:52
10	the word? We had not evaluated the system	09:53:57
11	sufficiently to make that determination.	09:54:01
12	Q. And what were your what were your next	09:54:06
13	steps after your visit to Tyto with regards to Tyto?	09:54:08
14	A. As I recall and I was as written in the	09:54:12
15	notes, we wanted to get an evaluation system loaner	09:54:15
16	to try it out and under our own conditions. And	09:54:18
17	so I believe the discussion moved in that direction.	09:54:22
18	Q. And did you end up receiving a loaner unit	09:54:26
19	to test?	09:54:29
20	A. We did.	09:54:30
21	Q. And do you recall when that was?	09:54:31
22	A. I don't recall specifically, but I believe	09:54:33
23	it was late summer.	09:54:34
24	Q. And did you discuss scratch that.	09:55:18
25	After your initial visit to Tyto, was	09:55:43

		Page 4
1	there any discussion of ?	09:55:4
2	A. After the initial visit, no. Later on,	09:55:5
3	yes.	09:55:5
4	Q. And did you ever have any discussions with	09:55:5
5	Rom Clement about potentially whether scratch	09:56:0
6	that.	09:56:0
7	Did you have any discussions with	09:56:0
8	Rom Clement or Bob MacDonald about	
		09:56:1
1	A. Yes.	09:56:1
2	Q. And did you view	
		09:56:3
6	MR. ZADO: Objection as to form.	09:56:3
7	THE WITNESS: I didn't have any specific	09:56:3
8	plans.	09:56:3
9	BY MS. DAVIS:	09:57:1
0	Q. And you said you had an internal debrief	09:57:1
1	after the Tyto visit; is that correct?	09:57:1
2	A. Yes.	09:57:1
	Q. And when did that happen?	09:57:2
3	A. Sometime after the meeting. I don't	09:57:2
3 4	iii bomeetine aroor one meesting. I don e	

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 3 4 certify: That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; 5 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 6 7 prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a verbatim record of the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand, which was thereafter 8 transcribed under my direction; and that the 9 foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof. 10 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to 11 the original transcript of a deposition in a federal 12 case, before completion of the proceedings, review 13 of the transcript [] was [X] was not requested. 14 I further certify that I am neither 15 financially interested in the action, nor a relative 16 or employee of any attorney of any party to this action. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 18 subscribed my name. 19 DATED: August 24, 2017 20 21 22 23 24 MEGAN F. ALVAREZ 25 CSR No. 12470, RPR Page 114